UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETER A. SCOTT, SR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

9:12-CV-0020 (LEK/CFH)

WOODWORTH, Parole Officer, Broome County Division of Parole,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court following a Report-Recommendation filed on May 31, 2013, by the Honorable Christian F. Hummel, U.S. Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Northern District of New York Local Rule 72.3(d). Dkt. No. 29 ("Report-Recommendation").

Within fourteen days after a party has been served with a copy of a magistrate judge's reportrecommendation, the party "may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); L.R. 72.1(c). "If no objections are filed ... reviewing courts should review a report and recommendation for clear error." Edwards v. Fischer, 414 F. Supp. 2d 342, 346-47 (S.D.N.Y. 2006); see also Cephas v. Nash, 328 F.3d 98, 107 (2d Cir. 2003) ("As a rule, a party's failure to object to any purported error or omission in a magistrate judge's report waives further judicial review of the point."); Farid v. Bouey, 554 F. Supp. 2d 301, 306 (N.D.N.Y. 2008).

No objections to the Report-Recommendation were filed in the allotted time period. <u>See</u> generally Dkt. After a thorough review of the Report-Recommendation and the record, the Court

has determined that the Report-Recommendation is not clearly erroneous or manifestly unjust.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 29) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED** in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion (Dkt. No. 25) for summary judgment is **GRANTED**, and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) is **DISMISSED with prejudice**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the Court serve a copy of this Order upon the parties to this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 02, 2013

Albany, New York

U.S. District Judge

Lawrence E. Kahn